

Valid Arguments

1

Clicker Question

What cannot occur in a valid argument?

- A. A false conclusion
- B. False premises
- C. All true premises and a false conclusion
- D. False premises and a true conclusion

Clicker Question

An argument with a false conclusion is

- A. Not sound
- B. Not valid
- C. Valid but not sound
- D. Cannot tell

Clicker Question

In the statement "If there is a problem, I will fix it"

- A. "There is a problem" is a premise
- B. "There is a problem" is a antecedent
- C. "I will fix it" is the conclusion
- D. The argument is not valid

Clicker Question

The statement:

Unless Congress acts, taxes will increase
is equivalent to

- A If Congress acts, taxes will increase
- B If Congress acts, taxes will not increase
- C If Congress does not act, taxes will increase
- D If Congress does not act, taxes will not increase

Clicker Question

Which statement is not equivalent to the others?

- There are no fires unless there are Santa Ana winds
- If there are Santa Ana winds, there are fires
- If there are fires, there are Santa Ana winds
- There are fires only if there are Santa Ana winds

Clicker Question

In the statement:

Only if the ducks quack will the ice freeze

- A The ducks quack is a sufficient condition for ice freezing
- B Ice freezing is a necessary condition for ducks quacking
- C Ducks quacking is a necessary condition for ice freezing
- D Ducks quacking is a necessary and a sufficient condition for ice freezing

Clicker Question

Assume:

Sales are increasing = T

Our sales force is less effective = F

We need to build a new plant = F

We have excess production capacity = T

What is the truth value of the following statement?

Whenever sales are increasing, we need to build a new plant

- A. True
- B. False

Clicker Question

Assume:

Sales are increasing = T

Our sales force is less effective = F

We need to build a new plant = F

We have excess production capacity = T

What is the truth value of the following statement?

Only if sales are increasing do we need to build a new plant

- A. True
- B. False

Clicker Question

Assume:

Sales are increasing = T *Our sales force is less effective* = F
We need to build a new plant = F *We have excess production capacity* = T

What is the truth value of the following statement?

Unless we have excess production capacity, we need to build a new plant

- A. True
- B. False

Using conditionals in inference

There are two ways to use a conditional statement in a **valid** inference, one obvious, one less so:

The obvious way:

From *IF A, THEN B*, affirm A
From this it follows that B

Why?

If B weren't true, and A is true
If A, then B would be rendered false

So, the following form is VALID:

If A, then B

A
∴B

Modus ponens

Using conditionals in inference - 2

The second, less obvious way

From *IF A, THEN B*, deny B

From this it follows that A is false

If B is false and A is true, then what is the truth value of *IF A, THEN B*?

It is false. Thus A cannot be true when the whole conditional is true. Accordingly:

If A, then B

Not B

∴Not A

is VALID

Modus tollens

Uses of conditional arguments in scientific reasoning

Modus ponens is most commonly invoked to make predictions from a hypothesis

If malaria is transmitted by mosquitoes and we eliminate the mosquitoes, malaria will decline
Malaria is transmitted by mosquitoes and we are eliminating the mosquitoes
∴ Malaria will decline

Modus tollens is most commonly invoked to confirm or falsify a hypothesis based on the truth or falsity of a prediction

Invalid conditional arguments

Not all arguments that start with conditional statements are valid

What can you conclude about B (validly) from:

If A, then B	Denying the Antecedent
Not A	INVALID
?	

Remember, to be valid, it must be that *if the premises were true, the conclusion would also have to be true*

What conclusion about B has to be true in this case?
Both B and *not B* are compatible with the premises
There is no valid argument here!

Invalid conditional arguments - 2

What about if we start with:

If A, then B	Affirming the consequent
B	INVALID
?	

What conclusion about A has to be true in this case?
Both A and *Not A* are compatible with these premises
There is no valid argument here either!

Overview

- Valid argument forms:

If A, then B

A

\therefore B

- *Modus ponens*

If A, then B

Not B

\therefore Not A

- *Modus tollens*

- Invalid argument forms

If A, then B

Not A

\therefore Not B

- Denying the
antecedent

If A, then B

B

\therefore A

- Affirming the
consequent

Clicker Question

- What form is this argument?
 - I know I passed since I took the test, and if I took the test, I passed.
- A. Modus ponens
- B. Affirming the consequent
- C. Modus tollens
- D. Denying the antecedent

Clicker Question

- What form is this argument?
 - Whenever the computer is broken, I have to calculate the result by hand. Today I had to calculate the result by hand. Thus, the computer must have been broken.
- A. Modus ponens
- B. Affirming the consequent
- C. Modus tollens
- D. Denying the antecedent

Clicker Question

- Why is the following argument not valid
- I read all the material on the Inquiry Website, therefore I will ace the exam
- A. You didn't really read ALL the material
- B. The premise could be true and the conclusion false
- C. The argument doesn't have the form modus ponens
- D. I will not ace the exam

Clicker Question

- What form is this argument?
- Only if the dog is white is the ball blue. Indeed, the dog is white. So, the ball is blue.
- A. Modus ponens
- B. Affirming the consequent
- C. Modus tollens
- D. Denying the antecedent
