Ontology of Evolution: Species and Units of Selection

"It is really laughable to see what different ideas are prominent in various naturalists' minds, when they speak of 'species'; in some, resemblance is everything and descent of little weight — in some, resemblance seems to go for nothing, and Creation the reigning idea — in some, sterility an unfailing test, with others it is not worth a farthing. It all comes, I believe, from trying to define the indefinable" (Darwin, December 24, 1856)

Why does it Matter How Species are Characterized?

- · Scientifically?
 - Understanding evolution
 - Using species as model systems
- · Morally?
 - Is X a human being (in the moral sense)?
 - What obligations do we have to certain organisms?
- Public Policy?
- Should we protect it?

Size of the Problem

Estimated number of species in different orders

- 5–10 million bacteria
 1.6 million eukaryote species
- 1.6 million eukaryote species

 297,326 plants

 28,499 fungi & other non-animals

 1,250,000 animals

 1,203,375 invertebrates

 59,811 vertebrates:

 29,300 fish

 6,199 amphibians

 8,240 reptiles

 9,956 birds

 5,416 mammals

Natural Kinds · A kind (or a set) is defined in terms of essential properties - All and only entities with the essential properties are instances of the kind Essential properties explain the key characteristics From knowing the essence, we can predict the properties associated with the kind **Clicker Question** Suppose that a trait were found in all members of a species. Would that establish it as the essence of the species? A. Yes, that would make it the essence of the B. No, since observers might not be able to readily identify whether an individual possessed the trait C. No, since that trait might also be possessed by individuals in other species **Clicker Question** The alternative to species being natural kinds/classes with essences that is discussed in the reading is A. That species do not exist, only varieties do That species are individuals that are spatially, temporally restricted C. That species are useful fictions created by scientists to makes sense of our world D. That although species do have essences, they are not really natural kinds

Discussion Question A. Yes, there is a trait that I, and only I have, and I B. Yes, there is a trait that I, and only I, have, but I cannot tell you what it is. I just know there has to be something distinctively me. C. No. I can change my traits by taking appropriate D. No. I am a continuing entity from birth to death, but my traits can change over time Species as Natural Kinds (Sets)? · Are any traits necessary or sufficient to being a member of a species - Would a mutant lacking the traits be excluded from the species? Would a mutant of another species that acquired the trait become a member of the species? Are there any sharp boundaries between members and non-members of a species? Species as Individuals · David Hull and Michael Ghiselin advanced an alternative to the traditional view that species are kinds or sets - Where sets are specified in terms of conditions of set membership (i.e., essential properties) They argued that evolutionary theory requires treating species as historical individuals—they are born and they go extinct In this respect, they are individuals—spatially, temporally extended entities Species don't have members but parts You and I are parts of the species homo sapiens, not members of it

Clicker Question On the view that species are individuals, which of the following is true of the Dodo Bird (now extinct) A. A new Dodo bird could evolve in the future B. Some Dodo birds might have had different appearances than others C. There are scientific laws about Dodo birds D. Dodo birds could have evolved independer different places

Surprising Consequences of Species as Individuals
Individual species cannot be the subject of scientific

anywhere else in the universe

Even if they look just like us, and speak English

Individualism and Human Nature

Many people are deeply concerned to figure out

But, if species are individuals, there is no human nature
 There is just a lineage of organisms, some of which

may differ dramatically from others

what human nature consists in – Language?

- Tool use?
- Sociality?

If it walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, . . . , but was not born of a duck, it is not a duck
 And if it is born of a duck, it is a duck even if it doesn't look like a duck, quack like a duck, . . .
 There cannot be ducks, or people,

	_
3	
	_

Responses to Species as Individuals Some have found the idea that a species is nothing more than a lineage impossible to accept Devitt argues there must be an essence (intrinsic feature) that determines why the members of a species exhibit the traits they do Boyd's Homeostatic Property Clusters: There are a cluster of processes that promote stability (homeostasis) within a species - Gene flow Gene flow Stabilizing pressure Developmental homeostasis Pluralism: different species concepts for different purposes Kitcher argues that for proximate explanations (physiology, molecular biology) species are defined in terms of their traits Some Candidate Accounts of **Species** · Phrenetic species concept: species are groups defined in terms of overall similarity Phylogenetic species concept: species are lineages of ancestral/descendant populations between speciation events Hennig: speciation always involves splitting of existing species (which then cease to exist) Biological species concept: species are populations that are reproductively isolated Mayr: isolation via "biological properties of individuals which prevent the interbreeding [fusion] of populations" The Prokayote Challenge Since Weismann in the late 19th century, the dominant view has been that genetic material is transferred only vertically from parents to offspring. In bacteria, however, lateral gene transfer—transfer of genes from one organism to he another is coming to be. another is coming to be recognized as quite common It appears likely that eukaryotic cells (cells with internal organelles such as a resulted from the combining of two prokaryottes (one becoming the mitochondrion or the chloroplast) This raises serious problems for the tree of life and phylogenetic construals of species

At What Level Does Natural Selection Occur?

- Darwin presented his account in terms of organisms —they were either favored or harmed in reproduction by how adaptive they were to current conditions
- Population genetics made genes the focus— selection favored or counted against genes being passed on
- Are there other levels of organization that figure in Natural Selection?
 - In The Descent of Man Darwin focused on altruism and on how the sacrifice of individuals helped their group at their own expense

At What Level Does Natural Selection Occur?

- · Wynn-Edwards proposed that animals restricted their
- own reproduction to benefit the group

 Williams (1966) argued against group selection and for
- All selection occurring at the level of genes

 There is no mechanism by which those who sacrificed for
 the group would leave more offspring

 This set up the question: Are there any units larger (at a
- higher level) than genes that need to be considered?

 Linkage groups?

 Chromosomes?

 Genomes?

 - Organisms? Groups? Species?

17

The Gene as the Unit of **Evolution**



- In The Selfish Gene Richard Dawkins:
 Replicators: That which is directly copied
 Vehicle: That which houses replicators and serves to protect and propagate them—organisms
 Vehicles are what interact with the environment
- But the gene is the fundamental unit of evolution
 David Hull
- Javid Hull

 Replicator: "an entity that passes on its structure directly in replication" (Hull 1980, p.318)

 Interactor: The entity which interacts with the environment such that replication is differential

 - Natural selection: "a process in which the differential extinction and proliferation of interactors cause the differential perpetuation of the replicators that produced them" (Hull 1980, p. 318)

House Mouse and t-allele Evolutionary processes operate on interactors at three levels - Favored at the level of sperm: 80% of the sperm from heterozygotes carry the t-allele (normal = 50%) Selected against at the organism level: Males homozygotic for the t-allele are sterile Selected against at the group level with all sterile males go extinct At different levels selection seems to be working in opposite directions but all the effects accrue to the same allele What Replicates? · Organisms do not-their traits are broken up in reproduction Chromosomes do not—the genes on them can Only genes! They are the "indivisible fragments" Dawkins: Analyze evolution solely at the genic level It is a mistake to focus on organisms (interactors) since they are not what benefit from selection An organism is just a gene's way of making copies of All benefit accrues to the genes (e.g., the t-allele) But even genes are not perfectly replicated And their function is modified by epigenetic processes Should we rethink the conclusions above? **Clicker Question** What would it take to show that selection operates on a higher level than genes A. That genes are not the units that interact with selection forces in the environment B. That genes are not replicators C. That genes are located on chromosomes D. That things at a higher level of organization than genes (organisms, groups) replicate and benefit from Natural Selection

Discussion Question

Being a member of a group (e.g., political parties, monogamous relationships) often restricts an individual's freedom. Why would individuals do this?

- A. They also gain from the fact that the group can accomplish more than solo individuals
- C. They feel coerced into being a member of the group
- D. They don't realize that they are missing out on benefits they would have had if they had stayed independent

Higher Level Organizations in Nature

- Nature

 Bacterial swarms

 Individual bacteria no longer "free" to go off on their own

 Eukaryotic cells: formed from the incorporation of one bacteria into another (or into an Archaea)

 Bacteria provided mitochondria and chloroplasts

 These organelles lost their independence when they became components of larger cells

 Multi-cellular organisms

 Division of labor as different cells specialize in different functions (blood cells in carrying oxygen, neurons in transmitting action potentials)

 But none is able to live independently

 Cancer: individual cells breaking free of the yoke of being part of a overall organism that restricts its reproductive potential





24

Modeling Group Selection

- A major argument against selection operating on groups was that mathematical models suggested it was impotent to produce evolutionary change
- These models all approached the problem by starting with several groups of individuals and selectively chose from them those that would contribute to the pool from which new groups are formed



Discussion Question



- A. It involves blending inheritance
- B. It makes evolution work too slowly given the age of the earth
- C. It relies totally on selection and fails to take advantage of inheritance of acquired characteristics
- D. It assumes much more variability than found among actual groups

Michael Wade and Tribolium

- · Performed an experiment with flour beetles
 - Offspring groups originate within a single group—ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED
 Within groups, the most fecund leave the most offspring
 - He selected groups with low fecundity—he simply eliminated those with the most fecund
- He found that overall fecundity declined
- Why?
 Athough within a given group, fecundity was more likely to rise, that was swamped by the promotion of groups with least fecundity

Simpson's Paradox

- · Partitioning a population into two parts can result in a reversal in the direction of relation between two variables
 - The death rate from tuberculosis for African Americans was *lower* in Richmond than in New York. The death rate from tuberculosis for Caucasians was
 - lower in Richmond than in New York.

 The death rate for the total combined population of African Americans and Caucasians from tuberculosis was higher in Richmond than in New York.

Population	New York	Richmond	
Nhite	4,675,174	80,895	
Black	91,709	46,733	
Combined	4,766,883	127,628	
Deaths	New York	Richmond	
White	8,365	131	
Black	513	155	
Combined	8,878	286	
Mortality rate	New York	Richmond	
White	.179%	.162%	
Black	.560%	.332%	
Combined	.186%	.224%	

Group Selection for Altruism Selfish Group Selfish Individuals Altruistic Individuals

Before	40	5
After	20	0
Altruistic Group	Selfish Individuals	Altruistic Individuals
Before	5	40
After	8	40
Combined	Selfish Individuals	Altruistic Individuals
Before	45	45
After	28	40